

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

08/863,457	05/27/97	g commander that a second second second a second			
		KRISHNAMURTHI]- -		QCPA377CIP
		L Manan za kasa		EXAMINER	
QUALCOMM IN	LM02/0421	NG	NGUYEN,S		
5775 MOREHO			ART U	NIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO C	A 92121-1714	.	27	'31	,
			DATE MAI	LED:	04/21/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/863,457

Steven Nguyen

Examiner

Group Art Unit

2731

Krishnamurthi



TH	ΕP	ERI	OD FOR RES	SPONSE: [check	only a) or b)]								
	a)		expires	months from	the mailing date of t	he final rejection.							
	b)	X	expires either this later. In no errejection.	ree months from the vent, however, will the	mailing date of the fi statutory period for	inal rejection, or or the response exp	n the mailing date o re later than six m	of this Advisory Action, vonths from the date of the	whichever ne final				
	date	on vermin	vhich the respon ing the period of	se, the petition, and t	he fee have been file rresponding amount	ed is the date of the of the fee. Any e	e response and al ktension fee pursu	nse and the appropriate so the date for the purpount to 37 CFR 1.17 will o) above.	ses of				
	App per	oella iod	nt's Brief is d for response	ue two months fro set forth above, w	om the date of the hichever is later	ne Notice of Ap). See 37 CFF	peal filed on _ ! 1.191(d) and	37 CFR 1.192(a).	(or within any				
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Feb 18, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:													
X	The	pro	posed amen	dment(s):									
			be entered u	ipon filing of a No	tice of Appeal a	nd an Appeal E	Brief.						
	_	X	they raise nev	w issues that wou	ıld require furthe	r consideration	and/or search	. (See note below).					
	[•	issue of new ma	•			,					
	[they are not o		the application in	better form fo	r appeal by ma	aterially reducing or	simplifying the				
	[they present	additional claims	without cancellir	ng a correspon	ding number of	f finally rejected clai	ms.				
	1	TON	E: the adde	ed and deleted lin	nitations of the c	laims 2-3, 8-9 i	and 12-13 have	e altered the scope	of the claims.				
		Ap _l	olicant's respo	onse has overcon	ne the following	rejection(s):							
				amended claims d amendment car		allowable clain		would be allow	vable if submitted in a				
			fidavit, exhibit		consideration ha	s been conside	ered but does N	NOT place the appli	cation in condition				
			fidavit or exhi		onsidered because	se it is not dire	cted SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly raised by the				
X	Fo	r pu	rposes of App	eal, the status of	the claims is as	follows (see at	tached written	explanation, if any)	:				
	Cla	aims	allowed:										
	Cla	aims	rejected: 1-	14		·							
	The	e pr	oposed drawi	ng correction filed	d on		☐ has ☐has	s not been approved	by the Examiner.				
	No	te th	ne attached Ir	formation Disclos	sure Statement(s	s), PTO-1449, f	Paper No(s)	· ·					
	Oth	ner						RICKY PATENT EX	NGO AMINER				